Root Locus for Systems with DelaysECE 461/661 Controls Systems

Jake Glower - Lecture #27

Please visit Bison Academy for correspondinglecture notes, homework sets, and solutions

Source of Delays

Delays can result from many situations:

- Placing a sensor far from the input *Example: paper mill*
- Ignored dynamics

fast poles do have some affect on a system A delay is a way to model the poles you'reignoring

Example

$$
G(s) = \left(\frac{60,000}{(s+5)(s+10)(s+20)(s+30)}\right)
$$

$$
G(s) \approx \left(\frac{100}{(s+5)(s+10)}\right)
$$

Approximating the delay

$$
G(s) = \left(\frac{60,000}{(s+5)(s+10)(s+20)(s+30)}\right) \approx \left(\frac{100}{(s+5)(s+10)}\right) \cdot e^{-sT}
$$

Find T to match the phase shift at $s = j1$

 $(e^{-sT})_{s=j1} = 1 \angle -4.7716^0$ \Rightarrow $T = 0.0833$ seconds

Pade Approximation:

To use root locus techniques, we need the poles and zeros:

$$
Delay(T) = e^{-sT} \approx k \frac{z(s)}{p(s)}
$$

Rewrite as

$$
e^{-sT} = \left(\frac{e^{-\frac{sT}{2}}}{e^{\frac{sT}{2}}}\right)
$$

Expand as a Taylor's series

$$
e^{-sT} = \left(\frac{1 - \left(\frac{T}{2}\right)s + \left(\frac{T^2}{8}\right)s^2 - \left(\frac{T^3}{48}\right)s^3 + \left(\frac{T^4}{384}\right)s^4 + \dots}{1 + \left(\frac{T}{2}\right)s + \left(\frac{T^2}{8}\right)s^2 + \left(\frac{T^3}{48}\right)s^3 + \left(\frac{T^4}{384}\right)s^4 + \dots}\right)
$$

The more terms you add, the better the approximation.

Example: Find 'k' for 20% overshoot*Y*= \setminus $\Big($ $\left(\frac{100}{s(s+5)(s+10)}\right)$ $\bigg)$ ⋅ *^e*−0.5*s U*

Solution #1: Use a Pade approximation with 2 terms: (Matlab function)

 $[num, den] = pade(0.5, 2);$ Delay = $tf(num, den);$

$$
e^{-0.5s} \approx \left(\frac{s^2 - 12s + 48}{s^2 + 12s + 48}\right) = \left(\frac{(s - 6 + j3.464)(s - 6 - j3.464)}{(s + 6 + j3.464)(s + 6 - j3.464)}\right)
$$

In Matlab:

```
G = zpk([], [0, -5, -10], 100);[num, den] = pade(0.5, 2);Delay = tf(num, den);

k = logspace(-2,2,1000)';R = rlocus (G*Delay, k);
```
Two solutions:

 $s = -0.6646 + j1.3293$

- $k = 0.4484$
- dominant pole

$$
s = -4.5751 + j9.1502
$$

- $k = 4.3299$
- stray solution

Checking in Matlab

• Wobble for $0 < t < 1/2$ second due to Pade approximation:

```
G = zpk([], [0, -5, -10], 100);[num, den] = pade(0.5, 2);Delta y = tf(num,den)s^2 - 12 s + 48 ---------------
 s^2 + 12 s + 48k = 0.4484;Gcl = G*Delay*k / (1 + G*Delay*k);
Gcl = minreal(Gcl);t = [0:0.01:10]';
y = step(Gcl, t);
plot(t,y);
```


Problem: 4th-order Pade Approximation

e−0.5 *s* ≈ $\Big($ \setminus (*s* −8.42 ±*j*10.63)(*s* −11.58 ±*j*3.47) (*s*+8.42±*j*10.63)(*s*+11.58±*j*3.47) $\bigg)$ \int

Root Locus:

 $[num, den] = pade(0.5, 4);$ $Delta y = tf(num,den)$ k = logspace(-2,2,1000)'; G = zpk([],[0,-5,-10],100)rlocus(G*Delay,k);

Zooming in on the dominant pole:

 $s = -0.6666 + j1.3333$ $k = 0.4566$

Option #2: Numerical Solution

Any point on the root locus satisfies

$$
\angle(GK)=180^0
$$

Search along the damping line until the angles add up 180 degrees

For 20% overshoot

$$
angle\left(\frac{100 \cdot e^{-0.5s}}{s(s+5)(s+10)}\right)_{s=\alpha(-1+j2)} = 180^0
$$

```
s = -1 + i \times 2;
G = zpk([],[0,-5,-10],100);evalfr(G, s) * exp(-0.5*s)
ans = -1.5006 + 0.9728is = s * 0.9;
evalfr(G,s) * exp(-0.5*s)ans = -1.7267 + 0.7338i
```
This method doesn't have a name and might not be taught anywhere else other thanNDSU.

- It isn't really root locus design, since you're not drawing the root locus
- It sort of is root locus design, since you're finding the point on the root locus which intersects the desired damping line. That's the only point you care about anyway, so youdon't need (and won't use) the rest of the root loucs.
- It's a lot easier and more accurate since you using e^{-sT} to model a delay, not an approximation. (Typing in four poles and four zeros for the 4th-order model was a bit of*s T*a pain. Typing in e^{-sT} was easy.)

In Matlab, iterate until the angle of $G *$ delay is zero. Taking your initial guess as

 $s = -0.5 + j$

then iterating by scaling s each step results in

```
s = 0.5 * (-1 + i * 2);
evalfr(G,s) * exp(-s*T)- 2.5039068 - 0.7297865is = s * 1.1; 10% largerevalfr(G,s) * exp(-s*T) - 2.4062416 - 0.4851018i good: complex portion is getting smaller.s = s * 1.1; add another 10%
evalfr(G,s) * exp(-s*T) - 2.303687 - 0.2437231i better: complex portion is getting smallers = s * 1.1; add another 10%
evalfr(G,s) * exp(-s*T) - 2.1923504 - 0.0045661i close: complex portion is almost zero
```
(time passes)

 $s = 0.666717 * (-1 +j*2)$ $- 0.666717 + 1.333434i$ evalfr $(G, s) * exp(-s * T)$ - 2.1901017 + 0.0000002i *close enough*

If you use this method, the result is the exact solution

Positives

- Works
- Doesn't need Padeapproximations
- Exact solution

Negatives

Not a built infunction inMatlab

Handout: Design a gain compensator, K(s), so that the following system with a 100ms delay has

$$
G(s) = \left(\frac{100}{(s+0.3)(s+2)(s+5)(s+10)}\right) e^{-0.2s}
$$

to meet the following requirements:

- No error for a step input
- 2% settling time $=$ 4 seconds
- Damping ratio $= 0.707$ (45 degrees)

Summary:

Delays are the bane of controls systems

• Destabilizes the closed-loop system

To design K(s) using root locus techniques when there's a delay...

- Use a Pade approximation for the delay*Converts a delay to poles & zerosThen analyze using root locus as per usual*
- Or, use numerical methods *You really only care about one point on the root locus (the design point)G(s)*K(s) = -1 at any point on the root locus*